(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa	ry by the examiner appears in I	Interview, since the interview Part II above.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.	ne examiner will provide a writt	en summary of the substance
Part III.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part II.		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Claim 1		
Claims discussed:		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Part I.		·
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
□ Telephonic □ Video Conference □ Personal (Copy given to: □ Applicant □ App	cant's representative)	
Type of Interview:	inne	
(2) Date of Interview: <u>6 September 2006</u>	(4) Time:	
(1) <u>Greg Bengzon</u> .	(3) <u>Grant Rowan, Reg.N</u>	No. 41278.
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
	Greg Bengzon	2144
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	09/987,632	NISHIYAMA, SUMIO
	Application No.	Applicant(s)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Upon request by Examiner Bengzon, applicant representative provided support in specifications for claim amendments regarding 'wherein the plurality of data files do not overlap with each other'. Cited portions include (Fig. 3; page 15, lines 12-22; page 21, line 24, to page 22, line 4) and (Fig. 3; page 15, line 23, to page 17, line 6).